

EMPLOYMENT

Half-baked challenge by employees dismissed for testing positive for cannabis at work

7 December 2022 3 min read

In a 2018 judgement by the [Constitutional Court](#), the highest Court in the land effectively decriminalised the private use, cultivation and possession of marijuana by declaring that specific provisions under the Drugs and Trafficking Act No.140 of 1992 and the Medicines and Related Substance Control Act No. 101 of 1965 were inconsistent with the right to privacy entrenched in the Constitution, and were therefore invalid to the extent that they made the private use, cultivation or possession of cannabis a criminal offence.

The qualified legalisation of cannabis does not extend to the workplace

Since the “**decriminalisation**” of the private use of cannabis, not unexpectedly, the Labour Court was recently required to determine whether the dismissal of two employees who tested positive for cannabis in the workplace was substantively fair. In the case of *NUMSA obo Nhlabathi and 1 Other v PFG Building Glass (PTY) Ltd* (JR 1826 /2020) [2022] ZALCJHB 292 (1 December 2022) (the PFG case) two employees tested positive for cannabis whilst on duty and were subject to a disciplinary hearing having regard to the employer’s zero tolerance policy on alcohol and drug abuse. The employees both pleaded guilty to the charge of testing positive for cannabis while in the workplace and were subsequently dismissed.

The employees subsequently referred an unfair dismissal dispute to the CCMA alleging that their dismissal was substantively unfair on the basis that, inter alia, the Constitutional Court had decriminalised the use of cannabis.

The employer’s case in this regard centred around the fact that its zero tolerance policy was particularly important considering the hazardous environment in which it operates, and that the Constitutional Court had only decriminalised the private use of cannabis but that the workplace was subject to the health and safety rules set out in the Occupational Health and Safety Act No.85 of 1993.

The arbitrating commissioner held that the dismissal of the employees was substantively fair. The employees, unhappy with this outcome, took the arbitration award on review at the Labour Court, resulting in the PFG case. In accordance with the usual test for review of a CCMA award, the Labour Court considered each complaint raised by the employees and found no merit to each of the grounds.

Importantly the Labour Court found that the Constitutional Court judgement does not offer any protection to employees against disciplinary action should they act in contravention of company policies or disciplinary codes. The review was accordingly dismissed by the Labour Court.

This case demonstrates that notwithstanding the decriminalisation of the private use, possession or cultivation of cannabis, employees may be dismissed for testing positive for cannabis while in the workplace, having regard to the nature of the workplace, provided that the employer has adopted a policy prohibiting the use of drugs in the workplace and that its employees have been made aware of such policy.



Bradley Workman-Davies

Director

[View Profile](#)



Kerry Fredericks

Director

[View Profile](#)